



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/574,630	05/12/2008	Ernst Haselsteiner	AT03 0055 US1	1877

65913 7590 06/04/2009  
NXP, B.V.  
NXP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT  
M/S41-SJ  
1109 MCKAY DRIVE  
SAN JOSE, CA 95131

EXAMINER
----------

ABRISHAMKAR, KAVEH

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2431

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

06/04/2009

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/574,630	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HASELSTEINER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> KAVEH ABRISHAMKAR	<b>Art Unit</b> 2431	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 February 2009.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                     | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Response to Amendment***

1. This action is in response to the amendment filed on February 24, 2009. Claims 1-15 were previously pending consideration. Per the received amendment no claims were added or cancelled.
2. Claims 1-15 are currently pending consideration.

### ***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments filed February 24, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the following reasons:

Regarding claim 1, the Applicant argues that the Cited Prior Art (CPA), Proudler (EP 1280042 A2), does not teach a second authorization data and a second verification data. This argument is not found persuasive. The first verification data is viewed as the integrity metric which is shown to authenticate the trusted platform (paragraph 0029). The second authorization data and the second verification data are delineated by the authentication data for validating the smart card (paragraph 0029-0030). This authentication procedure must present authorization data (from the smart card) and the result of the comparison is the verification data (authenticating the smart card). Therefore, this argument is not found persuasive.

Regarding claim 7, the Applicant argues that the CPA does not teach a second unit comprising a central arithmetic unit and at least one memory. It is well-known in the

Art Unit: 2431

art that a smart card reader has an arithmetic unit for calculating and comparing authentication data. Therefore, this argument is not found persuasive.

Regarding the dependent claims, the Applicant argues that the CPA does not teach jointly accessing a memory device. This argument is not found persuasive. The CPA discloses that the smart card reader has access to the appropriate volatile memory and non-volatile memory areas of the trusted device (paragraph 0030). Therefore, it is interpreted as jointly accessing the memory device. Therefore, the argument is not found persuasive. Finally, regarding claim 12, the Applicant argues that the smart card reader is not contactless. However, this is merely a design choice, and it is well-known in the art to use contactless smart cards. Therefore, the argument is not found persuasive.

Therefore, the rejection is maintained as given below.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Proudler et al. (EP 1280042 A2).

Art Unit: 2431

Regarding claim 1, Proudler discloses:

A method of identifying and/or verifying hardware and/or software of an appliance and of a data carrier which is provided to cooperate with the appliance, comprising the following steps:

transmitting first authorization data of the hardware and/or software to a first unit (paragraph 0016-0019, 0029-0030, 0041, 0049-0051: *sends a nonce to the trusted device, and receives a response used to verify the trusted device*);

comparing the first authorization data of the hardware and/or software that has been transmitted to the first unit with first verification data stored in the first unit (paragraph 0016: *identity and integrity metric are compared with expected values provided by a trusted party*)

authorizing the hardware and/or software once it has been ascertained that there is coincidence between the first authorization data provided by the hardware and/or software and the first verification data stored in the first unit (paragraph 0016: *identity and integrity metric are compared with expected values provided by a trusted party, and if there is a match, the device is trusted*)

transmitting second authorization data of a data carrier to a second unit (paragraph 0022, 0029, 0044: *verification between a smart card and a trusted device*);

comparing the second authorization data in the second unit with second verification data stored in the second unit (paragraph 0022, 0029, 0044: *verification between a smart card and a trusted device*)

Art Unit: 2431

authorizing the data carrier if there is coincidence between the second authorization data and the second verification data stored in the second unit (paragraph 0022, 0029, 0044: *verification between a smart card and a trusted device*)

wherein a direct data exchange is carried out between the first unit and the second unit (paragraph 0041, 0052: *communication between the trusted device and the platform after logical binding*).

Claim 2 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 1. Furthermore, Proudler discloses:

A method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the direct data exchange between the first unit and the second unit comprises a transmission of encrypted data and a comparison and/or decryption of data transmitted between the first unit and the second unit (paragraph 0019, paragraph 0051: *cryptographic processes*).

Claim 3 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 1. Furthermore, Proudler discloses:

A method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the data exchange between the first unit and the second unit is carried out prior to an identification and/or verification of first authorization data of the hardware and/or software and of second authorization data of the data carrier (paragraph 0041, 0052: *communication between the trusted device and the platform after logical binding*).

Art Unit: 2431

Claim 4 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 1. Furthermore, Proudler discloses:

A method as claimed in claim 1, wherein a central arithmetic unit of the first unit and a central arithmetic unit of the second unit jointly access at least one ROM memory one RAM memory and/or one non-volatile memory (paragraph 0030-0034: *measurement function has access to non-volatile memory and volatile memory to access the stored hash program, private key, and the acquired integrity metric in the form of a digest*).

Claim 5 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 1. Furthermore, Proudler discloses:

A method as claimed in claim 1, wherein encryption of the first authorization data and of the second authorization data is carried out in the first unit and in the second unit (paragraph 0019, paragraph 0051: *cryptographic processes*).

Claim 6 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 1. Furthermore, Proudler discloses:

A method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the second authorization data are obtained from a smartcard or a tag or a label that forms the data carrier (paragraph 0022, 0029, 0044: *verification between a smart card and a trusted device*).

Regarding claim 7, Proudler discloses:

A circuit for identifying and/or verifying hardware and/or software of an appliance and of a data carrier which is provided to cooperate with the appliance, comprising:

a first unit for identifying and/or verifying the hardware and/or software of the appliance (paragraph 0016-0019, 0029-0030, 0041, 0049-0051: *sends a nonce to the trusted device, and receives a response used to verify the trusted device*), comprising a central arithmetic unit and at least one memory and an interface to the hardware and/or software that is to be identified and/or verified (paragraph 0030-0034: *measurement function has access to non-volatile memory and volatile memory to access the stored hash program, private key, and the acquired integrity metric in the form of a digest*), and

a second unit comprising a central arithmetic unit and at least one memory and an interface to an external data carrier and also an interface to the hardware and/or software (paragraph 0022, 0029, 0044: *verification between a smart card and a trusted device*),

wherein a communication interface is provided between the central arithmetic units of the first unit and the second unit (paragraph 0041: *communication between platforms*).

Claim 8 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 7. Furthermore, Proudler discloses:

A circuit as claimed in claim 7, wherein the memories of the first unit and of the second unit are formed by a ROM memory and a RAM memory and/or a non-volatile memory (paragraph 0030-0034: *measurement function has access to non-volatile*



Art Unit: 2431

*memory and volatile memory to access the stored hash program, private key, and the acquired integrity metric in the form of a digest).*

Claim 9 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 7. Furthermore, Proudler discloses:

A circuit as claimed in claim 7, wherein the ROM memories and/or the RAM memories and/or the non-volatile memories of the first unit and of the second unit are in each case combined to form a common ROM memory and/or a common RAM memory and/or a common non-volatile memory (paragraph 0030-0034: *measurement function has access to non-volatile memory and volatile memory to access the stored hash program, private key, and the acquired integrity metric in the form of a digest).*

Claim 10 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 7. Furthermore, Proudler discloses:

A circuit as claimed in claim 7, wherein the first unit and the second unit in each case comprise an encryption device (paragraph 0019, paragraph 0051: *cryptographic processes).*

Claim 11 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 7. Furthermore, Proudler discloses:

A circuit as claimed in claim 7, wherein the central arithmetic unit of the first unit and the central arithmetic unit of the second unit are combined to form a common

Art Unit: 2431

central arithmetic unit which common central arithmetic unit has the integrated communication interface, and wherein the common central arithmetic unit is connected by an interface to the hardware and/or software that is to be identified and/or verified (paragraph 0030-0034: *measurement function has access to non-volatile memory and volatile memory to access the stored hash program, private key, and the acquired integrity metric in the form of a digest*).

Claim 12 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 7. Furthermore, Proudler discloses:

A circuit as claimed in claim 7, wherein the interface to the external data carrier is designed for contactless communication with the external data carrier (paragraph 0022, 0029, 0044: *verification between a smart card and a trusted device*).

Claim 13 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 14. Furthermore, Proudler discloses:

A circuit as claimed in claim 7, wherein the external data carrier is formed by a smartcard or a tag or a label (paragraphs 0032-0034: *label or a smart card*).

Claim 14 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 7. Furthermore, Proudler discloses:

An appliance which comprises as hardware at least one central arithmetic unit which central arithmetic unit is designed to run software and to obtain data from an

Art Unit: 2431

external data carrier cooperating with the appliance, wherein a circuit as claimed in claim 7 is coupled to the central arithmetic unit (paragraph 0022, 0029, 0044: *verification between a smart card and a trusted device*).

Claim 15 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 14. Furthermore, Proudler discloses:

An appliance as claimed in claim 14, wherein the central arithmetic unit of the appliance is coupled via an interface integrated in the central arithmetic unit of the appliance to the circuit integrated in the central arithmetic unit (paragraph 0030-0034).

### ***Conclusion***

**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KAVEH ABRISHAMKAR whose telephone number is (571)272-3786. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kaveh Abrishamkar/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2431

/K. A./  
05/28/2009  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2431